## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT EUGENE CHERWINK,

No. C 13-05147 CW

Plaintiff,

ORDER DIRECTING
PARTIES TO INFORM
COURT WHETHER THEY
CONSENT TO A

CAROLYN W COLVIN,

v.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR ALL PURPOSES

Defendant.

0

In cases initially assigned to a district judge, the parties may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. See Civil L.R. 73-1(b).

Accordingly, the parties are hereby DIRECTED to advise the Court, no later than November 27, 2013, as to whether they consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant action. For the parties convenience, a consent form is attached hereto; forms are also available at

http://www.cand.uscourts.gov, in the "Forms" section. The parties
are further advised that they may jointly request assignment to a
specific magistrate judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 13, 2013

Chalilia WILKEN

United States District Judge

26

25

2728

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their Joint Case Management Statement filed in connection with a case management conference. Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case management conference has not been scheduled.